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WHAT MAKES A CITY GREAT?

Urbanites across the country agree on a few things: they want great food, they love waterfronts, and they value historical architecture. As planners and designers, our job is to understand what people want and balance these desires with the big picture—economic realities, cultural needs, environmental concerns, and design opportunities—ultimately helping to shape a more satisfying and sustainable urban experience.

In this report, Sasaki outlines the results of a survey of 1,000 people who both live and work in one of six dynamic US cities—Boston, Chicago, New York, Austin, San Francisco, and Washington DC. We asked what they like and what they don’t like about their built environment in four key areas: architecture, activities, parks and open space, and transportation, and what their personal outlook is for staying in a city long-term. Our participants’ answers show that while we may be in the “century of the city” there is still much work to be done to improve urban life through thoughtful planning and innovation.
If You Build It...
While many people say they are drawn to historic buildings, we believe there’s more going on here than meets the eye. Digging a little deeper unearth’s ways in which cities can design and build better.

In our experience, two primary characteristics attract people to historic buildings:
1. When people identify a building as historic, it is well-made and full of interesting details.
2. It often has a story behind it. Who wouldn’t be captivated by the building—however humble—in which Thoreau wrote Walden?

Contemporary buildings that people identify as such are often uninteresting not because they are new, but because they are market-driven products that prioritize quantity and speed over quality and mission. They’re visually uninteresting, and there’s definitely no story behind them.

Plenty of counter examples exist—especially in cities with a recent culture of great contemporary architecture. Residents of San Francisco notably prize buildings that feature public art or unique design elements rather than those that are historic.

We think it’s entirely possible to elevate today’s architecture and people’s impression of it—creating buildings with character, detail, and human scale, that can also be iconic. New buildings that relate to the public realm (an aspect in which many historical buildings fall short) will also be more successful. Millennials and Gen Xers are more likely to want buildings with flexible uses for pop-ups and community events. Interesting landscape design, activated first floors (that go beyond that standard retail awning), and even green roofs are all ways to create engagement.

These approaches don’t necessarily have to cost more, but do require a more thoughtful design process up front. And buildings with unique identities can yield higher returns in the long run. People are attracted to buildings with character, a story, and an identity—and tenants are often willing to pay a bit more to get that.

If You Build It...

### Regionally
- Residents seem to appreciate the local vernacular. Bostonians are the biggest admirers of historic buildings. Residents of San Francisco are more likely to be attracted to buildings that prominently feature public art or very unique design elements and are unimpressed by the tallest buildings. In contrast, with the tallest (on average) skyline in the US, it should be no surprise that Chicagoans are more likely to admire tall buildings.

### Generationally
- Baby Boomers are more likely to stop and admire historic buildings, whereas Millennials and Gen Xers are more likely to admire modern buildings.

---

#### Regionally
- Residents of Austin are even more likely to appreciate history, but are less likely to say great architecture makes a building iconic.
- San Franciscans are more likely to want smaller-scale buildings. Residents of both Boston and Chicago are torn—they want both smaller buildings and more skyscrapers, creating a conundrum for developers and urban planners.

#### Generationally
- Millennials and Gen Xers are more likely to want flexible uses that support pop-ups and community events, and unusual architecture.
Out and About
Since the dawn of time, we’ve come together for meals—so it’s no surprise that food continues to be a major social, cultural, and economic driver. When we asked city residents what aspects of urban life enchanted them, food kept popping up in their responses. Eighty-two percent of urbanites appreciate their city’s culinary offerings!

There are myriad ways in which we can incorporate food into our cities, from traditional restaurants and waterfront patios to, more recently, food trucks and carts. We can activate streets by accommodating the mobile food scene. This usually requires making room for trucks and, ideally, providing seating options. At the district scale, we can plan for and incentivize food and beverage ventures in new or revitalized neighborhoods. Recognizing the powerful draw of food, cities should plan and design accordingly.

CONSUMERS ACROSS THE COUNTRY LOVE TO SHOP AND EAT—MORE THAN ANY OTHER OUTDOOR ACTIVITY

REGIONALLY
People from Chicago like consumer activities the most, while San Franciscans like them the least. Austinites tend to prefer programmed events (like farmer’s markets, outdoor concerts, and food trucks) more than residents of other cities.

56% enjoy consumer activities

45% like programmed events

33% prefer passive activities

19% are into adventurous activities

18% favor team sports

New Yorkers like their parks and public spaces. Austinites love their fairs and festivals.

When asked what would make them want to visit a new part of their city, participants overwhelmingly said “a new restaurant”

46% of respondents would venture out of their neighborhood to try a new restaurant

25% would be incentivized to go to a new area of the city for a retail area or store

24% would go for arts or a cultural event

18% would check out a new park or green space

16% would go for a sporting event

15% would venture out to visit a nightlife venue

11% would go for business networking purposes

FOOD AND RESTAURANTS ARE THE MOST OUTSTANDING ASPECT OF THE CITIES PEOPLE LOVE TO VISIT

9% are into the local sports scene

10% are disposed to the architecture

13% like the people

16% favor fairs and festivals

17% prefer parks and public spaces

21% are disposed to cultural offerings

24% remember the historical landmarks and places

32% like local attractions

41% favor the food and restaurants

REGIONALLY
Bostonians are the most likely to be enticed by a new restaurant. New Yorkers are the least likely; they are more likely to venture out for an arts or cultural event.

GENERATIONALLY
Baby Boomers venture out for arts and cultural events. Gen Xers check out retail stores, and Millennials, not surprisingly, are drawn to the nightlife.
The Great [Urban] Outdoors

While it’s true that a city’s skyline defines its character in the postcard sense, at the human scale, we identify with the spaces between buildings.

Recent research also suggests that open spaces and a high quality public realm add significant value (think real estate along Central Park in New York).

But making room for open space can be a significant challenge—especially for major, dense metropolises. Not everyone can recreate New York’s Central Park.

Clearly, there are huge opportunities in existing underutilized spaces to transform them into parks. Often, these spaces are linear, like New York’s High Line and Chicago’s Riverwalk. These projects require design innovation and engineering ingenuity, but ultimately provide unique outdoor experiences and connective tissue between different parts of the city.

Coastal and riverfront cities are examining their waterfronts, which are a major draw when it comes to types of outdoor space. Transforming these often industrial and/or underutilized spaces can elevate the reputation of a city, enhance the well-being of its residents, and create major revenue drivers through a variety of programming options.

PARKS + OPEN SPACE

1. PARK/STREET

65% remember their favorite experience being in a park or on a street

2. PRIVATE BUILDING

22% remember their favorite experience taking place in a private building

3. GOVT/CIVIC BUILDING

6% remember their favorite experience occurring in a government/civic building

FORTY SIX PERCENT encourage community-focused events and attractions

41% support investment in making the waterfront more accessible and appealing

40% would like to see more large parks that support both passive and adventurous activities

37% wish their cities would make streets more pedestrian/bike friendly

36% support adding outdoor music and entertainment venues

31% desire more small urban parks (such as for visiting on lunch breaks)

REGIONALLY

Austinites are more likely to love their trail systems. New Yorkers are the only ones who prefer large open parks to waterfront areas.

Bostonians are least satisfied with their parks and public spaces. Even more surprising, Bostonians are very unsatisfied by their local sports scene, despite the strong loyalty and affinity for sports teams in the city. Chicago, on the other hand, is very satisfied with its sports scene, as is New York.

GENERATIONALLY

Age is a factor in preference for open space. Baby Boomers prefer waterfront areas, while Millennials and Gen Xers are more likely to hang out in a large open park.

Most people remember their favorite city experience taking place outdoors—either in a park or on a street.

Waterfront areas are the most popular open space across the country.

Out of 100 people, 47% say waterfront areas are their favorite open space.

Out of 100 people, 8% like their city’s trail systems.

Out of 100 people, 31% are drawn to large open parks.

Out of 100 people, 14% prefer small urban parks.

Out of 100 people, 4% support adding outdoor music and entertainment venues.

Out of 100 people, 36% support adding outdoor music and entertainment venues.

Out of 100 people, 31% desire more small urban parks (such as for visiting on lunch breaks).
Information Superhighway
When we asked urban residents what they liked least about living and working in a city, traffic was the unsurprising winner.

Breaking Americans of their car habit has been an ongoing battle. Transit-oriented development is the most-cited solution to encourage a less auto-centric society. (An anomaly, New York has the city-wide density to support a robust transit network.)

However, the numbers (here and elsewhere) speak loud and clear: we are still auto-dependent. We need to plan and design differently—in a way that will enhance mobility options while still acknowledging our love for the automobile.

We think new technologies offer a huge opportunity to rethink how cars can be more efficient and effective, both in terms of commuting and sustainability. Driverless cars, for example, promise safer and faster trips—and could be hitting the market in only 5 to 10 years. Driverless cars also address the issue of parking. Whereas traditional cars need multiple spaces throughout the day (home, work, gym, grocery store, home), driverless cars can park in a mega garage or further away while not in use—or even serve someone else during what would otherwise be parked time.

Ultimately, integrating mobility in the ever-expanding Internet of Things will help improve the city experience—and reduce our carbon emissions.

When it comes to transportation issues, people are most frustrated by traffic:

- 41% say there is too much traffic
- 23% say parking is lacking
- 7% say sidewalks are crowded
- 7% say things are too spread out
- 9% say biking is dangerous
- 14% say public transportation is poor

Regionally:
In San Francisco, locals are most frustrated by the lack of parking.

Cars remain the primary mode of transportation across the country:

- 58% use cars most frequently
- 29% use public transportation
- 10% use their own two feet
- 2% use bikes

Use of public transportation:

- 29% use public transportation
- 10% use their own two feet
- 2% use bikes
URBAN PLANNING

Back to the Future

The planet is becoming increasingly urban. And of those we surveyed, most urbanites see themselves staying in a city: a total of 60% said they plan on either living where they do now or in a different part of the city.

From an urban planning perspective, this is a great thing. In regions in which we’ve done the analysis, density and transit-oriented development have the best outcomes economically, environmentally, and socially.

However, some places in the US are struggling with shrinking cities. This phenomenon finds its roots in the 1956 Interstate Highway Act, which has proved to be the single largest force in shaping the development of urban centers across America. The highway program, which was intended to improve access to our great cities, also made it easier to sprawl outside of our urban confines. Once-thriving industrial cities like Detroit and St. Louis have seen more than 60% of their populations leave since 1950. The list of 36 US cities that have seen a population decrease of 20% or more over that same time period also includes places like Boston and Washington DC, though that trend is now reversing.

IN FIVE YEARS, MOST AMERICAN CITY DWELLERS SEE THEMSELVES LIVING EXACTLY WHERE THEY DO NOW

IN FIVE YEARS, MOST AMERICAN CITY DWELLERS SEE THEMSELVES LIVING EXACTLY WHERE THEY DO NOW

This report helps us understand what will keep cities liveable now, and for future generations:

- Well-crafted architecture
- Engaging activities and parks
- Memorable open spaces
- Forward-looking transportation

60% see themselves staying in the city

16% see themselves still living in the city, but are saving to buy a house outside the city further than 5 years down the road

11% see themselves moving to the suburbs

7% see themselves moving to a rural area
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